Uncapacitated Facility Location Problem

Given: Set of facilities F with opening costs $f_i \ge 0$, $i \in F$; set of clients D with connection costs $c_{ij} \ge 0$, $i \in F$, $j \in D$.

Task: Choose $F' \subseteq F$ and assign each client to nearest facility in F'. Objective: Minimize $\sum_{i \in F'} f_i + \sum_{j \in D} \min_{i \in F'} c_{ij}$.

Remarks:

- This is a generalization of the Set Cover Problem.
- In the following, we consider the special case with metric costs c_{ij} .

IP formulation:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & \sum_{i \in F} f_i \cdot y_i + \sum_{i \in F, j \in D} c_{ij} \cdot x_{ij} \\ \text{s.t.} & \sum_{i \in F} x_{ij} = 1 & \text{for all } j \in D, \\ & y_i - x_{ij} \ge 0 & \text{for all } i \in F, j \in D \\ & x_{ij}, y_i \in \{0, 1\} & \text{for all } i \in F, j \in D \end{array}$$

LP Relaxation and Dual LP

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & \sum_{i \in F} f_i \cdot y_i + \sum_{i \in F, j \in D} c_{ij} \cdot x_{ij} \\ \text{s.t.} & \sum_{i \in F} x_{ij} = 1 & \text{for all } j \in D, \\ & y_i - x_{ij} \ge 0 & \text{for all } i \in F, j \in \\ & x_{ij}, y_i \ge 0 & \text{for all } i \in F, j \in \\ \end{array}$$

dual LP: max $\sum v_j$

s.t.
$$\sum_{j \in D} w_{ij} \le f_i$$
 for all $i \in F$,
$$v_j - w_{ij} \le c_{ij}$$
 for all $i \in F, j \in D$,
$$w_{ij} \ge 0$$
 for all $i \in F, j \in D$.

Interpretation of the dual LP:

- v_j is the total amount that customer j wants to pay for being served.
- customer j might contribute w_{ij} to facility i for being connected to i. 52

51

D,

D.

Structure of Optimal LP Solution

Let (x^*, y^*) and (v^*, w^*) be optimal solutions to the primal and dual LP, respectively.

Notation:

- Facility *i* neighbors client *j* if $x_{ij}^* > 0$; $N(j) := \{i \in F \mid x_{ij}^* > 0\}$.
- ▶ $N^2(j) := \{\ell \in D \mid \text{client } \ell \text{ neighbors some facility } i \in N(j)\}.$

Lemma 4.10.

If clients j_1, \ldots, j_k have disjoint neighborhoods $N(j_1), \ldots, N(j_k)$, then opening cheapest facility in each neighborhood costs $\leq \sum_{i \in \Gamma} f_i \cdot y_i^* \leq \text{OPT}$.

53

Proof:...

Lemma 4.11. For each client j, $v_i^* \ge c_{ij}$ for all $i \in N(j)$.

Proof: Follows from complementary slackness.

Deterministic LP Rounding Algorithm

1 compute optimal LP solutions (x^*, y^*) and (v^*, w^*) ; 2 while $D \neq \emptyset$ 3 choose $j := \operatorname{argmin}_{j' \in D} v_{j'}^*$ and $i := \operatorname{argmin}_{i' \in N(j)} f_{i'}$; 4 assign all unassigned clients in $N^2(j)$ to facility i; 5 set $D := D \setminus N^2(j)$;

Theorem 4.12.

The algorithm above is a 4-approximation algorithm.

Proof:...

We finally mention the following non-approximability result without proof.

Theorem 4.13.

There is no α -approximation algorithm for the metric uncapacitated facility location problem with $\alpha < 1.463$ unless each problem in *NP* has an $O(n^{O(\log \log n)})$ time algorithm.

Bin Packing Revisited

In the previous chapter we showed how to find a solution to instance I with at most $(1 + \varepsilon)OPT(I) + 1$ bins in polynomial time.

Goal: Use at most $OPT(I) + O(log^2 OPT(I))$ bins!

Ingredients:

- Replace dynamic program with integer program plus LP rounding.
- Improved grouping scheme.
- Recursive application of two previous ingredients.

Notice:

By Lemma 3.14 we can assume that all items have size at least 1/SIZE(I).